arXiv:1402.0060v2 [cs.IT] 14 Sep 2014

# ON CLASSIFICATION OF TORIC SURFACE CODES OF LOW DIMENSION

XUE LUO, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU, MINGYI ZHANG, AND HUAIQING ZUO

Abstract. This work is a natural continuation of our previous work [YZ]. In this paper, we give a complete classification of toric surface codes of dimension equal to 6, except a special pair, $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$. Also, we give an example, $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$, to illustrate that two monomially equivalent toric codes can be constructed from two lattice non-equivalent polygons.

## 1. Introduction

Toric codes, which were introduced by J. Hansen [H1], are constructed on toric varieties. These toric codes have attracted quite a bit of attentions in the last decade, because they are, in some sense, a natural extension of Reed-Solomon codes, which have been studied recently in [DGV], [H1], [H2], [LS1], [LS2], [J], etc.

Compared to the other codes, the toric codes have their own advantage for study. The properties of these codes are closely tied to the geometry of the toric surface $X_P$ associated with the normal fan $\triangle_P$ of the polygon $P$. Thanks to this advantage, D. Ruano [R] estimated the minimum distance using intersection theory and mixed volumes, extending the methods of J. Hansen for plane polygons. J. Little and H. Schenck [LS1] obtained upper and lower bounds on the minimum distance of a toric code constructed from a polygon $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by examining Minkowski sum decompositions of subpolygons of $P$. The most interesting things are that J. Little and R. Schwarz [LS2] used a more elementary approach to determine the minimum distance of toric codes from simplices and rectangular polytopes. They also proved a general result that if there is a unimodular integer affine transformation taking one polytope $P_1$ to another polytope $P_2$, i.e. $P_1$ and $P_2$ are lattice equivalent (Definition 2.4), then the corresponding toric codes are monomially equivalent (hence have the same parameters). However, the reverse implication is not true. An explicit example will be given in our paper to illustrate this statement. Based on this useful tool, they classified the toric surface codes with a small dimension. However, one case of toric codes of dimension 5 was missing in their classification of toric surface codes. In [YZ], the second and the last author of this paper supplemented the missing case and completed the proof of classification of toric codes with dimension less than or equal to 5. There are other families of higher dimensional toric codes for which the minimum distance is computed explicitly, see [SS2].

In this paper, we give a complete classification of toric surface codes of dimension equal to 6. Also some interesting phenomena have been discovered in the process of our proofs. On the one hand, we give an explicit example that two monomially equivalent toric codes can be constructed from two lattice non-equivalent polygons, see Proposition 3.4. On the other hand, the number of the codewords in $C_P$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with some particular weight can be varied by the choice of $q$, see Table 3.2-3.5. The methods in this paper shed a light on classification of toric surface codes of higher dimension and it may give better champion codes than those in [BK].

School of Mathematics and System Sciences, Beihang University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100191, email: xluo@buaa.edu.cn.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100084, and Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, IL, 60607, email: yau@uic.edu.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, P. R. of China, 100084, email: zmysljk@gmail.com.

Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, P. R. China, 100084, email: hqzuo@math.tsinghua.edu.cn.

The main results in this paper are stated below:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every toric surface code with $3 \leq k \leq 6$, where $k$ is the dimension of the code, is monomially equivalent to one constructed from one of the polygons in Fig. 1.1-1.3 or Fig. 1.4.*




FIGURE 1.1. Polygons yielding toric codes with $k = 3$




FIGURE 1.2. Polygons yielding toric codes with $k = 4$

**Theorem 1.2.** *$C_{P_6^{(i)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(j)}}$ are not monomially equivalent over $\mathbb{F}_q$ for all $q \geq 7$, except that*

(1) *$C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ are monomially equivalent;*
(2) *the monomial equivalence of $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ remains open.*

The above theorems yield a (almost) complete classification of the toric codes of dimension $\leq 6$ up to monomial equivalence. Based on the fact that the enumerator polynomial of $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ are exactly the same (see Table A.1), we conjecture the following:

**Conjecture 1.1.** *$C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ are monomially equivalent.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries have been introduced. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of the theorems. All the data computed by GAP (code from [J]) are collected in the tables.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall recall some basic definitions and results to be used later in this paper. We shall follow the terminology and notations for toric codes in [LS2].

2.1. **Toric surface codes.** Given a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ where $q$ is a power of prime number. Let $P$ be any convex lattice polygon contained in $\square_{q-1} = [0, q-2]^2$. We associate $P$ with a $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space of polynomials spanned by the bivariate power monomials:




FIGURE 1.3. Polygons yielding toric codes with $k = 5$

$$\mathcal{L}(P) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\{x^{m_1}y^{m_2} | (m_1, m_2) \in P\}.$$

The toric surface code $C_P$ ([SS1]) is a linear code with codewords the strings of values of $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ at all points of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$:

$$C_P = \{(f(t), t \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2) | f \in \mathcal{L}(P)\}.$$

2.2. **Minkowski Sum and Minimum Distance of Toric Codes.** For some special polygons $P$, one can compute the minimum distance of the toric surface code $C_P$, say the rectangles and triangles.

Let $P_{k,l}^{\square} = \mathrm{conv}\{(0,0),(k,0),(0,l),(k,l)\}$ be the convex hull of the vectors $(0,0)$, $(k,0)$, $(0,l)$, $(k,l)$. Let $\square_{q-1} = [0, q-2]^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. The minimum distance of $C_{P_{k,l}^{\square}}$ is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** ([LS2]) *Let $k, l < q-1$, so that $P_{k,l}^{\square} \subset \square_{q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the minimum distance of the toric surface code $C_{P_{k,l}^{\square}}$ is*

$$d(C_{P_{k,l}^{\square}}) = (q-1)^2 - (k+l)(q-1) + kl = ((q-1)-k)((q-1)-l).$$

Let $P_{k,l}^{\triangle} = \mathrm{conv}\{(0,0),(\mathrm{k},0),(0,\mathrm{l})\}$ be the convex hull of the vectors $(0,0),(k,0),(0,l)$. Similarly, the minimum distance of $C_{P_{k,l}^{\triangle}}$ is given below:

**Theorem 2.4.** ([LS2]) *If $P_{k,l}^{\triangle} \subset \square_{q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and $m = \max\{k,l\}$, then*

$$d(C_{P_{k,l}^{\triangle}}) = (q-1)^2 - m(q-1).$$

**Remark 2.1.** *These two theorems above can be generalized to higher dimensional case, see* [LS2].




FIGURE 1.4. Polygons yielding toric codes with $k = 6$

In the paper [SS1], the authors give a good bound for the minimum distance of $C_P$ in terms of certain geometric invariant $L(P)$, the so-called full Minkowski length of $P$.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $P$ and $Q$ be two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Minkowski sum is obtained by taking the pointwise sum of $P$ and $Q$:*

$$P + Q = \{x + y \mid x \in P, y \in Q\}.$$

Let $P$ be a lattice polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a Minkowski decomposition

$$P = P_1 + \cdots + P_l$$

into lattice polytopes $P_i$ of positive dimension. Let $l(P)$ be the largest number of summands in such decompositions of $P$, and called the Minkowski length of $P$.

**Definition 2.2.** ([SS1]) *The full Minkowski length of $P$ is the maximum of the Minkowski lengths of all subpolytopes $Q$ in $P$,*

$$L(P) := max\{l(Q)|Q \subset P\}.$$

We shall use the results in [SS1] to give a bound of the minimum distance of $C_P$:

**Theorem 2.5.** ([SS1]) *Let $P \subset \square_{q-1}$ be a lattice polygon with area $A$ and full Minkowski length $L$. For $q \geq max(23, (c + \sqrt{c^2 + 5/2})^2)$, where $c = A/2 - L + 9/4$, the minimum distance of the toric surface code $C_P$ satisfies*

$$d(C_P) \geq (q-1)^2 - L(q-1) - 2\sqrt{q} + 1.$$

With the condition that no factorization $f = f_1 \cdots f_{L(P)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ contains an exceptional triangle (a triangle with exactly 1 interior and 3 boundary lattice points), we have a better bound for the minimum distance of $C_P$:

**Proposition 2.1.** ([SS1]) *Let $P \subset \square_{q-1}$ be a lattice polygon with area $A$ and full Minkowski length $L$. Under the above condition on $P$, for $q \geq \max(37, (c + \sqrt{c^2 + 2})^2)$, where $c = A/2 - L + 11/4$, the minimum distance of the toric surface code $C_P$ satisfies*

$$d(C_P) \geq (q-1)^2 - L(q-1).$$

2.3. **Some Theorems about Classification of Toric Codes.** In this paper, we shall classify the toric codes with dimesion equal to 6, according to the monomial equivalence. Thus, we state the precise definition below.

**Definition 2.3.** *Let $C_1$ and $C_2$ be two codes of block length $n$ and dimension $k$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $G_1$ be a generator matrix for $C_1$. Then $C_1$ and $C_2$ are said to be monomially equivalent if there is an invertible $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $\Delta$ and an $n \times n$ permutation matrix $\Pi$ such that*

$$G_2 = G_1 \Delta \Pi$$

*is a generator matrix for $C_2$.*

It is easy to see that monomial equivalence is actually an equivalent relation on codes since a product $\Pi\Delta$ equals $\Delta'\Pi$ for another invertible diagonal matrix $\Delta'$. It is also a direct consequence of the definition that monomially equivalent codes $C_1$ and $C_2$ have the same dimension and the same minimum distance (indeed, the same full weight enumerator).

An affine transformation of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping of the form $T(x) = Mx + \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is a fixed vector and $M$ is an $m \times m$ matrix. The affine mappings $T$, where $M \in GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ (so $Det(M) = \pm 1$ ) and $\lambda$ have integer entries, are precisely the bijective affine mappings from the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^m$ to itself.

Generally speaking, it's impractical to determine two given toric codes to be monomially equivalent directly from the definition. A more practical criteria comes from the nice connection between the monomial equivalence class of the toric codes $C_P$ and the lattice equivalence class of the polygon $P$ in [LS2].

**Theorem 2.6.** *If two polytopes $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are lattice equivalent, then the toric codes $C_P$ and $C_{\tilde{P}}$ are monomially equivalent.*

The definition of the lattice equivalence of two polygons is the following:

**Definition 2.4.** *We say that two integral convex polytopes $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^m$ are lattice equivalent if there exists an invertible integer affine transformation $T$ as above such that $T(P) = \tilde{P}$.*

For the sake of completeness, we list some simple facts about lattice equivalence of two polytopes $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

**Proposition 2.2.** *(i) If $P$ can be transformed to $\tilde{P}$ by translation, rotation and reflection with respect to x-axis or y-axis, then $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are lattice equivalent;*

*(ii) If $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are lattice equivalent, then they have the same number of sets of $n$ collinear points and the same number of sets of $n$ concurrent segments;*

*(iii) If $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are lattice equivalent, then they are both $n$-side polygons;*

*(iv) If $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are lattice equivalent, then they have the same number of interior integer lattices.*

These properties are directly followed from Definition 2.4.

Besides the properties of lattice equivalence, Pick's Formula is also a useful tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.7.** (Pick's formula) *Assume $P$ is a convex rational polytope in the plane, then*

$$\sharp(P) = A(P) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \partial(P) + 1,$$

*where $\sharp(P)$ represents the number of lattice points in $P$, $A(P)$ is the area of $P$ and $\partial(P)$ is the perimeter of $P$, with the length of an edge between two lattice points defined as one more than the number of lattice points lying strictly between them.*

**Remark 2.2.** *Generally speaking, $\partial(P)$ is the number of lattice points on the boundary of $P$. The only exception in plane is line segment, which should follow the precise definition of length of the edge above.*

2.4. **Some Theorems to eliminate the upper bound of $q$.** Let us introduce the so-called Hasse-Weil bounds, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 frequently to help specifying the exact number of the codewords with some particular weight, for $q$ large.

**Theorem 2.8.** ([AP]) *If $Y$ is an absolutely irreducible but possibly singular curve, $g$ is the* arithmetic genus *of $Y$, $Y(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of curve, then*

$$1 + q - 2g\sqrt{q} \leq |Y(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq 1 + q + 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

*These two bounds are called the Hasse-Weil bounds.*

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ and $P_f$ denote its Newton polygon, which is the convex hull of the lattice points in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. Denote

$$f = \sum_{m=(m_1,m_2)\in P_f} \lambda_m x^{m_1} y^{m_2}, \quad \lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

Let $X$ be a smooth toric surface over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ defined by a fan $\Sigma_X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ which is a refinement of the normal fan of $P_f$. Let $C_f$ be the closure in $X$ of the affine curve given by $f = 0$. If $f$ is absolutely irreducible, then $C_f$ is irreducible. By Theorem 2.8,

$$|C_f(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q + 1 + 2g\sqrt{q},$$

where $g$ is the arithmetic genus of $C_f$.

Let $Z(f)$ be the number of zeros of $f$ in the torus $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. It is well known that the arithmetic genus $g$ of $C_f$ equals to the number of interior lattice points in $P_f$ (see [LS1] for the curves).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $f$ be absolutely irreducible with Newton polygon $P_f$. Then*

$$Z(f) \leq q + 1 + 2I\left(P_f\right)\sqrt{q},$$

*where $I(P_f)$ is the number of interior lattice points.*

## 3. Proof of the Theorems

In this section, we shall give the sketch of the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. Before that, let us clarify the notations first. Let $P_i$ denote an integral convex polygon in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with $i$ lattice points, $P_i^{(j)}$ is the $j^{\text{th}}$ lattice equivalence class of $P_i$, $V$ is the additional lattice point, which will be added to $P_i^{(j)}$ and $P_{i,V}^{(j)} := \text{conv}\left\{P_i^{(j)}, V\right\}$ denote a new integral convex polygon formed by $P_i^{(j)}$ and $V$. Our strategy is almost the same as that in [LS2], by adding all possible choices of $V$ to $P_5^{(j)}$ to get all lattice equivalence classes of $P_6$ with the help of Pick's formula.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let us add $V$ to $P_5^{(1)} = \text{conv}\{(0,0),(4,0)\}$ to see that $P_6^{(1)}$ and $P_6^{(2)}$ are the only two lattice equivalence classes. If $V$ is on the x-axis to form a line segment, the only choices of $V$ would be $(5,0)$ or $(-1,0)$, otherwise the new convex polygon have more than 6 lattice points. Notice that $P_6^{(1)} = \text{conv}\left\{P_5^{(1)}, (5,0)\right\}$ and $\text{conv}\left\{P_5^{(1)}, (-1,0)\right\}$ are lattice equivalent by translation (i.e. Proposition 2.2, (i)). If $V$ is not on the x-axis, then we have $\partial\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) = 6$. By using Pick's formula, $6 = \#\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) = A\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) + 1 = A\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) + 4$, we get $A\left(P_{5,V}^{(1)}\right) = 2$. Therefore, the choices of $V$ are the lattice points on $y = \pm 1$. Say, $V = (x_0, 1)$, $x_0$ is integer. By the definition of lattice equivalence, there is an integer affine transformation $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which transforms $\text{conv}\left\{P_5^{(1)}, (x_0,1)\right\}$ to $P_6^{(2)}$. The similar transformation can be found to $\text{conv}\left\{P_5^{(1)}, (x_0,-1)\right\}$.

There are only 14 lattice equivalence classes $P_6^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \cdots, 14$, as shown in Fig. 1.4. Since the arguments are similar, we just list all the possible $V$'s and in which equivalence class $P_{5,V}^{(i)}$ is, for $i = 1, \cdots, 7$, in Table 3.1. The verification is left to the interested readers.

□

In order to show Theorem 1.2, we only need to determine whether two toric surface codes constructed from the polygons in Fig. 1.4 can be pairwise monomially equivalent, due to the result in [LS2] and [YZ] that no two of the toric codes $C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $q > 5$ constructed from the polytopes with dimension $k = 3, 4$ and 5 are monomially equivalent. Our strategy is the following:

(1) For $q$ small, say $q \leq 8$, we use the GAP code (with toric package and guava package) to get their enumerator polynomials directly. If those enumerator polynomials of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $1 \leq i \leq 14$, are different from each other on $\mathbb{F}_q$, for $7 \leq q \leq 8$, then they are not pairwirse monomially equivalent. If they are the same in some cases (for example, $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$, $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$, see Table A.1 in the appendix), we need some further investigations.

(2) For $q$ large, say $q \geq 9$, we shall compare the invariants of the codes, including minimum distance, the number of the codewords with some particular weight, etc. Once we could identify one invariant in one case to be different from that in another case, then we conclude that they are pairwise monomially inequivalent. However, the estimate of the number of the codewords with some particular weight depends on how large $q$ is. Therefore, we still need to use GAP (with toric package and guava package) for small $q$ (see Table A.2 and A.3 in the appendix).

The first step in our strategy is to tell the monomial equivalence of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $1 \leq i \leq 14$, for $q \leq 8$. It's easy to see from Table A.1 in the appendix that all the enumerator polynomials of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $1 \leq i \leq 14$, are different, except that of $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ and that of $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$. Here, an interesting phenomena occurs. Two toric codes constructed from two lattice non-equivalent polygons could also be monomially equivalent. $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ is the typical example.

**Proposition 3.4.** *$C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ are monomially equivalent.*

*Proof.* We use the Magma program to give the generator matrices of these two toric codes over $\mathbb{F}_7$. For the Magma code, please refer to [J]. □

TABLE 3.1.

| add $V$ to $P_5^{(i)}$ | possible choice of $V$ | lattice equivalence class ($P_6^{(i)}$) |
|---|---|---|
| $P_5^{(1)}$ | $(5,0)$, $(-1,0)$ | $P_6^{(1)}$ |
| | $(x_0, \pm 1)$, $x_0$ is integer | $P_6^{(2)}$ |
| $P_5^{(2)}$ | $(4,0)$, $(-1,0)$ | $P_6^{(2)}$ |
| | $(1,1)$, $(-1,1)$ | $P_6^{(3)}$ |
| | $(0,-1)$, $(6,-1)$ | $P_6^{(4)}$ |
| | $(-1,-1)$, $(7,-1)$ | $P_6^{(5)}$ |
| | $(1,-1)$, $(5,-1)$ | $P_6^{(6)}$ |
| | $(3,-1)$ | $P_6^{(7)}$ |
| | $(2,-1)$, $(4,-1)$ | $P_6^{(8)}$ |
| $P_5^{(3)}$ | $(3,0)$, $(-1,0)$ | $P_6^{(3)}$ |
| | $(-1,-1)$, $(4,-1)$, $(0,-1)$ | $P_6^{(9)}$ |
| | $(1,2)$, $(-1,2)$, $(4,-1)$ | $P_6^{(11)}$ |
| | $(1,-1)$, $(2,-1)$ | $P_6^{(12)}$ |
| | $(0,2)$ | $P_6^{(13)}$ |
| | $(2,1)$, $(-1,1)$ | $P_6^{(14)}$ |
| $P_5^{(4)}$ | $(3,3)$ | $P_6^{(6)}$ |
| | $(-1,-1)$ | $P_6^{(7)}$ |
| | $(-1,0)$, $(0,-1)$ | $P_6^{(9)}$ |
| | $(-1,1)$, $(1,-1)$ | $P_6^{(11)}$ |
| | $(1,2)$, $(1,2)$ | $P_6^{(12)}$ |
| $P_5^{(5)}$ | $(3,0)$ | $P_6^{(4)}$ |
| | $(-1,0)$ | $P_6^{(8)}$ |
| | $(1,1)$, $(1,-1)$ | $P_6^{(9)}$ |
| | $(-1,2)$, $(-1,-2)$ | $P_6^{(10)}$ |
| | $(-1,1)$, $(-1,-1)$ | $P_6^{(11)}$ |
| $P_5^{(6)}$ | $(0,3)$ | $P_6^{(5)}$ |
| | $(0,-1)$ | $P_6^{(6)}$ |
| | $(1,1)$, $(-1,0)$ | $P_6^{(11)}$ |
| $P_5^{(7)}$ | $(2,0)$, $(-2,0)$, $(0,2)$, $(0,-2)$ | $P_6^{(8)}$ |
| | $(1,1)$, $(1,-1)$, $(-1,-1)$, $(-1,1)$ | $P_6^{(12)}$ |

Unfortunately, the other pair $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ can't be determined by the same way in Proposition 3.4, since the command "IsEquivalent" in Magma can only be used to compare toric codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = 4$ or small prime numbers. Moreover, it is infeasible to show the monomial equivalence directly from the definition. So we leave the problem open. Based on the result in Proposition 3.4 and the fact that the enumerator polynomial of $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ is exactly the same, we conjecture that this pair, i.e. $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$, is also monomially equivalent. Further, we ask a more general question for the interested readers: For which $q$ and $k$ are there monomially equivalent toric codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ from polytopes that are not lattice equivalent?

Next, we shall classify $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $1 \le i \le 14$, for $q \ge 9$. The first invariant to be examined is the minimum distance (or the minimum weight), denoted as $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$.

**Proposition 3.5.** *According to $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $1 \le i \le 14$, for $q \ge 9$, no code in one of the five groups is monomially equivalent to a code in any of the other four groups:*

(i) $C_{P_6^{(1)}}$;
(ii) $C_{P_6^{(2)}}$;
(iii) $C_{P_6^{(14)}}$;
(iv) $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, *for* $3 \le i \le 8$;
(v) $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, *for* $9 \le i \le 13$.

*Proof.* It follows directly from Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 that for all $q$, we have $d\left(C_{P_6^{(1)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 5(q-1)$, $d\left(C_{P_6^{(14)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - (3q-5)$, $d\left(C_{P_6^{(2)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 4(q-1)$ and $d\left(C_{P_6^{(13)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$. Besides these four, we still need to compute $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $3 \le i \le 12$.

For $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$, it is a subcode of $C_{P_{3,3}^{\triangle}}$ with $d\left(C_{P_{3,3}^{\triangle}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$, by Theorem 2.4; while it is also a supercode of $C_{P_{3,1}^{\triangle}}$ with the same minimum distance as $C_{P_{3,3}^{\triangle}}$, by Theorem 2.3. Therefore, $d\left(C_{P_6^{(3)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$ for all $q$.

For $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $4 \le i \le 12$, they can be figured out in the similar way for each $i$ by Proposition 2.1. We illustrate the argument for $d\left(C_{P_6^{(4)}}\right)$ in detail and leave the similar work for $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $5 \le i \le 12$, to the interested readers. For $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$, $L\left(P_6^{(4)}\right) = 3$, there is no factorization $f = f_1 f_2 f_3$ containing an exceptional triangle, $c = A/2 - L + 11/4 = 5/4$. For $q \ge \max\left(37, (c + \sqrt{c^2+2})^2\right) = 37$, we get $d\left(C_{P_6^{(4)}}\right) \ge (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$. It is easy to see that $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ indeed contains the codewords, which has $3(q-1)$ zeros in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. For example, the codewords come from the evaluation $\mathrm{ev}(d(x-a)(x-b)(x-c))$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $a \ne b \ne c$. Therefore, $d\left(C_{P_6^{(4)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$. With the similar argument, we conclude that when $q \ge 37$, $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$, for $5 \le i \le 8$, and $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$, for $9 \le i \le 12$. Using GAP (with toric package and guava package), the minimum distance for $7 \le q < 37$ can be computed: $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$, for $5 \le i \le 8$ and $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$, for $9 \le i \le 12$ for all $q \ge 9$.

Since the minimum distance is one of the invariants of monomial equivalence of codes, we summerize for $q \ge 9$:

(i) $d\left(C_{P_6^{(1)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 5(q-1)$,
(ii) $d\left(C_{P_6^{(2)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 4(q-1)$,
(iii) $d\left(C_{P_6^{(14)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - (3q-5)$,
(iv) $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1)$ for $3 \le i \le 8$,
(v) $d\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right) = (q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$ for $9 \le i \le 13$.

□

Just according to the minimum distance, the monomial equivalence/inequivalence of any two codes both from either group (iv) or (v) in Proposition 3.5 are still unknown. We shall examine two more invariants: the numbers of the codewords with weight $(q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$ and $(q-1)^2 - (2q-3)$, denote as $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ and $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, respectively. We start with group $(iv)$, i.e. $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $3 \le i \le 8$. The group $(v)$, i.e. $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $9 \le i \le 13$, can be done similarly.

The basic idea to examine the pairwise monomial inequivalence of any two codes $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $3 \le i \le 8$, is:

(1) to find out $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $3 \le i \le 8$ and to sort the codes with the same $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ into subgroups to be determined later;

TABLE 3.2.

| $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$ | Distinct families of reducible polynomials | Number of codewords | $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b),\ a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,\ a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cx(x-a)(x-b),\ a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,\ a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $c(x-a)^2(x-b),\ a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,\ a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cx(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $c(x-a)^2(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ | $c(xy-a)(xy-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cxy(xy-a)(xy-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $c(xy-a)^2(xy-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(7)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | if $3\mid(q-1)$, |
| | $cx(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)+$ |
| | $c(x-a)^2(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $\frac{2}{3}(q-1)^3$; |
| | if $3\mid(q-1), cy^{-1}(y-a)(x^3-by), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,$ | | if $3\nmid q-1$, |
| | and $ab\neq i^3$ for all $i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $\frac{2}{3}(q-1)^3$ | $=4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=5\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cx(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $c(x-a)^2(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(8)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | if $q\neq 2^n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, |
| | $cx^{-1}(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=5\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)+$ |
| | $cx^{-1}(x-a)^2(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^3$; |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | if $q=2^n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, |
| | if $q\neq 2^n, cx^{-1}y^{-1}(y-ax)(b-xy),$ | | $=5\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+, a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,$ | | |
| | $a\neq b, \frac{a}{b}\neq\alpha^{2i}$ for $1\leq i\leq q-2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^3$ | |

(2) to give the range of $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ among the codes with the same $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ and to compare them to give the final classification.

Fortunately, in our situation, these two invariants are enough to give a complete classification of monomial equivalence class to $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $3\leq i\leq 8$.

To be more precise, the way to compute $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ is to enumerate the families of evaluations that contribute to weight $(q-1)^2-2(q-1)$. The completeness of the enumeration above is followed by Theorem 2.8, which requires $q$ being large, say $q\geq 23$ (this lower bound is given by the inequality in Proposition 2.3) in most of the cases. Then, we use the GAP code (with toric package and guava package) again to make up the gap $9\leq q\leq 19$, see Table A.2. For $q\geq 23$, with the help of $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, we can exclude some codes and sort the ones left into several subgroups with the same $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$. Then, by enumerating the families of evaluations that contribute to weight $(q-1)^2-(2q-3)$, the range of $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ can be obtained to classify the subgroups.

**Proposition 3.6.** *For $q\geq 9$, no two codes of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $3\leq i\leq 8$, are pairwise monomially equivalent.*

*Proof.* We shall identify $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, for $3\leq i\leq 8$, one by one. Since the arguments are similar to that in the proof of Theorem 6 in [LS2], we shall just investigate $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(3)}}\right)$ in detail, for the sake

TABLE 3.3.

| $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$ | Distinct families of reducible polynomials | # of codewords | $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$, $q=2^n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ | None | 0 | $=0$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(8)}}$, $q=2^n$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ | $cx^{-1}y^{-1}(y-ax)(b-xy)$ and $a^{-1}b=\alpha^2$ for one unique $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $(q-1)^3$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$ | $d(x-a)(y-bx-c), a,d\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, | | |
| | if $b=0$, $c\neq 0$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $=6(q-1)^3$ |
| | or $c=0$, $b\neq 0$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $+\binom{q}{2}(q-1)^3$; |
| | or $b,c\neq 0$ and $a=-\frac{c}{b}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $a(y+bx^2+cx+d)(x+e), a,b,c,d,e\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^5$, $q\neq 2^n$ | |
| | if $bx^2+cx+d$ is absolutely irreducible | $\binom{q}{2}(q-1)^3$, $q=2^n$ | |
| | or $bx^2+cx+d=b(x+e)^2$ when $q\neq 2^n$; | $(q-1)^3$, $q\neq 2^n$ | |
| | $a(y+bx^2+cx)(x+b^{-1}c), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $a(y+bx^2-c)(x+d)$ | | |
| | if $q\neq 2^n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ | | |
| | and $b^{-1}c\neq\alpha^2$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^4$, $q\neq 2^n$ | |
| | or if $q=2^n$ for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ | $(q-1)^3$, $q=2^n$ | |
| | and $(-d)^2=b^{-1}c$ | | |
| | $a(y+bx^2)(x+c)$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$, $q\geq 27$ | None | 0 | $=0$ † |
| $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ | $c(x-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $=(q-1)^3$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(7)}}$, $3\nmid(q-1)$ | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-bx^3)$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-ax^2)(y-bx), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $=2(q-1)^3$ |

† Only if $q\geq 47$, $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(5)}}\right)$ could be shown to be 0. As a supplement, Table A.3 in the appendix illustrates that $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(5)}}\right)=0$, for $27\leq q\leq 43$. When $q=25$, although $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(5)}}\right)$ is not zero, the exact enumerator polynomials of $P_6^{(3)}$, $P_6^{(5)}$ and $P_6^{(6)}$ are listed in Table A.3 explicitly.

of completeness; while for $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $4\leq i\leq 8$, only the key differences will be stated in Table 3.2. Interested readers can complete the arguments for $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $4\leq i\leq 8$.

For $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$, $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(3)}}\right)\geq 4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$, because there are three distinct families of reducible polynomials:

- $c(x-a)(x-b)$, $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a\neq b$, has $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ such codewords,
- $cx(x-a)(x-b)$, $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a\neq b$, has $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ codewords,
- $c(x-a)^2(x-b)$, $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a\neq b$, has $2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ codewords.

Actually, we claim that there are exactly $4\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ such codewords in $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$. Any other such codewords could only come from evaluating a linear combination of $\{1,x,x^2,x^3,y,xy\}$ in which at least one of $y$ and $xy$ appears with nonzero coefficients (otherwise, we are in a case covered previously).

If either the coefficient of $y$ or that of $xy$ is zero, such polynomial will be absolutely irreducible. Indeed, if $y$ has nonzero coefficient and $xy$ doesn't, then by Proposition 2.3, the number of zeros of such polynomial $f$ in the torus $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ has a bound:

$$Z(f)\leq q+1+2I(P_f)\sqrt{q}\leq q+1+2I\left(P_6^{(3)}\right)\sqrt{q}=q+1+0=q+1.$$

TABLE 3.4.

| $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$ | Distinct families of reducible polynomials | Number of codewords | $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $C_{P_6^{(9)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b)$, $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-b)$, $a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(11)}}$ | $c(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$, | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $cx^{-1}y^{-1}(xy-a)(xy-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(12)}}$ | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | if $q=2^m$, |
| | $cx^{-1}(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$; |
| | If $q\neq 2^m, cx^{-1}y^{-1}(y-ax)(b-xy)$, | | if $q\neq 2^m$, |
| | $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+, a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, | | $=2\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)+$ |
| | $a\neq b, \frac{a}{b}\neq\alpha^{2i}$, for $1\leq i\leq q-2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^3$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^3$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(10)}}$ | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=3\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ † |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $cx^{-1}(y-ax)(y-bx), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(13)}}$ | $c(y-a)(y-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | $=3\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ |
| | $c(x-a)(x-b), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |
| | $c(x-ay)(x-by), a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, a\neq b$ | $\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ | |

† only if $q\geq 43$, $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(10)}}\right)=3\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ could be proven. As a supplement, Table A.3 in the appendix illustrates that $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(10)}}\right)$ is still $3\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$, when $23\leq q\leq 41$.

$q+1<2q-2$ for all $q>3$, so such polynomial can never have $2q-2$ zeros. If $xy$ has nonzero coefficient and $y$ doesn't, similarly argument implies that such polynomial can never have $2q-2$ zeros either.

If both of them have nonzero coefficients, the only possible cases for the polynomial to be reducible are that :

(I) $a(y+bx^2+cx+d)(x+e)$, $a,b,c,d,e\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. It has zeros of two types: (1) $(-e,j)$ for $j\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$; (2)$(i,j)$ such that $j+bi^2+ci+d=0$. In the first type, there are $q-1$ zeros. In the second type, for every $i\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, there is at most one $j$ such that $j+bi^2+ci+d=0$.

Actually, if $bx^2+cx+d$ is absolutely irreducible, then there are exactly $q-1$ zeros of second type. In this case, there is exactly one common zero $(-e,j)$ of both types, where $j+be^2-ce+d=0$. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

If $bx^2+cx+d=b(x+e_1)(x+e_2)$ where $e_1\neq e_2$ and $e_1,e_2\neq e$, then there are exactly $q-3$ zeros of second type. And there is exactly one common zero $(-e,j)$ of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-5$ zeros.

If $bx^2+cx+d=b(x+e_1)^2$ where $e_1\neq e$, then there are exactly $q-2$ zeros of second type. And there is exactly one common zero $(-e,j)$ of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-4$ zeros.

If $bx^2+cx+d=b(x+e)(x+e_1)$ where $e_1\neq e$, then there are exactly $q-3$ zeros of second type. And there is no common zeros of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-4$ zeros.

If $bx^2+cx+d=b(x+e)^2$, then there are exactly $q-2$ zeros of second type. And there is no common zeros of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

So this kind of polynomials cannot have $2(q-1)$ zero points.

(II) $a(y+bx^2+cx)(x+d)$, $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. It has zeros of two types: (1)$(-d,j)$ for $j\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$; (2)(i,j) such that $j+bi^2+ci=0$. In the first type, there must be $q-1$ zeros. In the second type, there must be $q-2$ zeros.

When $d=b^{-1}c$, there is no common zero of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

When $d \neq b^{-1}c$, then there is exactly one common zero $(-d, j_0)$ of both types, where $j_0 + bd^2 - cd = 0$. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-4$ zeros.

(III) $a(y+bx^2-c)(x+d), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. It has zeros of two types: (1) $(-d, j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$; (2) (i,j) such that $j + bi^2 - c = 0$. In the first type, there must be $q-1$ zeros.

If $q \neq 2^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ as $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^q - 1 = 1\}$. When $b^{-1}c = \alpha^{2k}$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$, then there are $q-3$ zeros of second type. Thus the polynomial has at most $2q-4$ zeros. When $b^{-1}c \neq i^2$ for any $i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then there are $q-1$ zeros of second type and there is exactly one common zero $(-d, j_0)$ of both types, where $j_0 + bd^2 - c = 0$. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

If $q = 2^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then there is one unique $i_0$ such that $i_0^2 = b^{-1}c$. So there are $q-2$ zeros of second type. If $-d = i_0$, then there is no common zero of both types. Thus the polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros. If $-d \neq i_0$, then the polynomial has exactly $2q-4$ zeros.

(IV) $a(y+bx^2)(x+c), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. It has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

(V) $a(x+by+c)(x+d)$. It has zeros of two types: $(1)(-d, j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$; $(2)(i, j)$ such that $i + bj + c = 0$ where $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. In the first case, there are $q-1$ zeros. In the second type, for every $j \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, there are at most one $i$ such that $i = -bj + c$.

Let $j = -b^{-1}c$, then there is no $i$ such that $(i, -b^{-1}c)$ is a zero in the second type. So there are $q-2$ zeros in the second type of zeros.

If $d \neq c$, then$(-d, b^{-1}(d-c))$ is a common zero of both types. So such polynomial has at exactly $2q-4$ zeros.

if $d = c$, then there is no common zeros of both types. So such polynomial has exactly $2q-3$ zeros.

(VI) $a(x+by)(x+c), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. It has $2q-3$ zeros.

(VII) $c(x+a)(y+b)$. It has $2q-3$ zeros.

In a word, such reducible polynomials cannot have $2q-2$ zeros.

When the polynomial is absolutely irreducible, by Proposition 2.3, we obtain that

$$Z(f) \leq q + 1 + 2I(P_f)\sqrt{q} \leq q + 1 + 2I\left(P_6^{(3)}\right)\sqrt{q} = q + 1 + 0 = q + 1.$$

So over $\mathbb{F}_q$ when $q > 3$, such polynomials can't give the codewords with weight $(q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$. The claim has been shown.

As we have seen, the key point in the argument above is to find out the distinct families of reducible polynomials which evaluate to give the codewords with weight $(q-1)^2 - 2(q-1)$. Table 3.2 lists the key information for $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $3 \leq i \leq 8$, with $q \geq 23$.

Let us explain where the lower bound of $q$ comes from briefly in the case $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$. Actually, for $q \geq 23$, we claim that there are exactly $5\binom{q-1}{2}(q-1)$ such codewords in $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$. Any other such codewords could only come from evaluating a linear combination of $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, y, y^{-1}\}$ in which both $\{x, x^2, x^3\}$ and $\{y, y^{-1}\}$ appears with at least one element having nonzero coefficients (since otherwise we are in a case previously covered). Such polynomial will be absolutely irreducible. The maximal polygon of such polynomials associates to the polynomials with $x^3, y, y^{-1}$ having nonzero coefficients, as $f = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + a_4x^3 + a_5y + a_6y^{-1}$ where $a_4, a_5, a_6 \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.3, the number of zeros of $f$ in the torus $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ has a bound:

$$Z(f) \leq q + 1 + 2I(P_f)\sqrt{q} = 1 + q + 4\sqrt{q}.$$

When $q \geq 23$, $Z(f) < 2q-2$. Thus such polynomial can never have $2q-2$ zeros when $q \geq 23$. Any other smaller polygons have fewer interior points and then have lower upper bound. So all such polynomials can never have $2q-2$ zeros when $q \geq 23$. The lower bound of $q \geq 23$ is also valid for other $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $5 \leq i \leq 8$.

Due to Table 3.2, we still have the following three cases to verify:

(1) When $q \geq 23$, any two codes of $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$, $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ are pairwise monomially inequivalent;

TABLE 3.5.

| $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$ | Distinct families of reducible polynomials | Number of codewords | $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $C_{P_6^{(10)}}$, $q \geq 27$ | None | 0 | $= 0$ † |
| $C_{P_6^{(13)}}$ | $c(x-a)(y-b), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $> 0$ | $> 0$ |
| $C_{P_6^{(9)}}$ | $d(x-a)(y-bx-c)$, | | |
| | if $b = 0, a, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $= 5(q-1)^3$ |
| | or $c = 0, a, b, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | or $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, a = -\frac{c}{b}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(xy-b), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(xy-dy-b)$, | | |
| | $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, d = -\frac{b}{a}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(11)}}$ | $d(y-a)(x-by-c)$, | | |
| | if $b = 0, a, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $= 3(q-1)^3$ |
| | or $c = 0, a, b, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | or $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, a = -\frac{c}{b}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| $C_{P_6^{(12)}}$, $q = 2^m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ | $cx^{-1}(x-a)(y-b), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | $\geq 6(q-1)^3$ |
| | $cx^{-1}(xy-a)(x-b), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(xy-a)(y-b), a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cy^{-1}(y-a)(xy-dy-b), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, | | |
| | $d = -\frac{b}{a}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cx^{-1}(x-a)(xy-dx-b), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, | | |
| | $d = -\frac{b}{a}$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |
| | $cx^{-1}y^{-1}(xy-a)(y-bx), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ | $(q-1)^3$ | |

† Only if $q \geq 47$, $n_2(C_{P_6^{(10)}})$ could be shown to be 0. As a supplement, Table A.3 in the appendix illustrates that $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(10)}}\right) = 0$, for $27 \leq q \leq 41$. When $q = 25$, although $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(10)}}\right)$ is not zero, the exact enumerator polynomials of $P_6^{(10)}$ and $P_6^{(13)}$ are listed in Table A.3 explicitly.

(2) Over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $3 \nmid (q-1)$, $C_{P_6^{(7)}}$ and any one codes of $C_{P_6^{(3)}}$, $C_{P_6^{(5)}}$, $C_{P_6^{(6)}}$ are pairwise monomially inequivalent;
(3) Over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = 2^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $C_{P_6^{(4)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(8)}}$ are pairwise monomially inequivalent.

It is worth to mention that we could make sure $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(4)}}\right) = 0$ when $q = 2^n$ and $n \geq 5$; and we could settle down the value of $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ for $i = 6, 7, 8$ and $q \geq 25$. Thus, it is sufficient to check that no two enumerator polynomials of each codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q \leq 23$, in Table A.2 are exactly the same, which guarantees the codes are pairwise inequivalent in each cases above. The way to find out $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, $3 \leq i \leq 8$, are similar to that of $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(3)}}\right)$ before. So we just list the key information in Table 3.3, say the distinct families of reducible polynomials which evaluate to give the codewords with weight $(q-1)^2 - (2q-3)$, of each codes as before. The verifications are left to interested readers.

We have reached our conclusion, due to Table 3.3. □

Similarly, we could give a complete classification of monomial equivalence class of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $9 \leq i \leq 13$ as in Proposition 3.6.

**Proposition 3.7.** *For $q \geq 9$, no two codes of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $9 \leq i \leq 13$, are monomially equivalent.*

*Sketch of the proof.* We classify the toric codes of $C_{P_6^{(i)}}$, $9 \leq i \leq 13$, by $n_1\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$, see Table 3.4.

Based on the information in Table 3.4, there are three cases left to be determined by $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$:

(1) $C_{P_6^{(9)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(11)}}$ are monomially inequivalent;
(2) $C_{P_6^{(10)}}$ and $C_{P_6^{(13)}}$ are monomially inequivalent;
(3) Over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = 2^m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $C_{P_6^{(12)}}$ and any one of $C_{P_6^{(9)}}$, $C_{P_6^{(11)}}$ are monomially inequivalent.

We collect the key information about $n_2\left(C_{P_6^{(i)}}\right)$ in Table 3.5. Our conclusion has been reached by Table 3.5. □

## Acknowledgements

The Magma Program and GAP Program is provided by John Little, to whom we are extremely thankful, especially for his patience and helpful discussions. The work of X. Luo is supported by Beihang University start-up fund (Grant No. YWF-14-RSC-026). S. S.-T. Yau thanks the start-up fund from Tsinghua University. And H. Zuo gratefully acknowledges the support of NSFC (Grant No. 11401335) and the start-up fund from Tsinghua University.

## References

[AP] Aubry, Y. and Perret, M.: A Weil Theorem for Singular Curves. In: Pellikaan, R., Perret, M., Vladut, S. G. (eds.) *Arithmetic, and Coding Theory.* pages 1-7, de Gruyter, Berlin (1996).

[BK] Brown, G. and Kasprzyk, A.M.: Seven New Champion Linear Codes. *LMS J. Computation and Mathematics.* 16: pages 109-117, 2013.

[BP] Beelen, P. and Pellikaan, R.: The Newton Polygon of Plane Curves with Many Rational Points. *Des.Codes Cryptogr.* 21: pages 41-67(2000).

[DGV] Diaz, P., Guevara, C. and Vath, M.: Codes from n-Dimensional Polyhedra and n-Dimensional Cyclic Codes. *Proceedings of SIMU summer institute.* 2001.

[H1] Hansen, J. P.: Toric Surfaces and Error-correcting Codes. In: *Coding theory, cryptography and related areas* (Guanajuato, 1998). pages 132-142, Berlin: Springer 2000.

[H2] Hansen, J. P.: Toric Varities Hirzebruch Surfaces and Error-correcting Codes. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering,Communication and Computing.* 13: pages 289-300, 2002.

[J] Joyner, D.: Toric Codes Over Finite Fields. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing.* 15: pages 63-79, 2004.

[LS1] Little, J. and Schenck, H.: Toric Surface Codes and Minkowski Sums. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 20: pages 999-1014, 2006

[LS2] Little, J. and Schwarz, R.: On Toric Codes and multivarate Vandermonde matrices. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering,Communication and Computing.* 18: pages 349-367, 2007.

[R] Ruano, D.: On the Parameters of r-dimensional Toric Codes. *Finite Fields and Their Applications.* 13(4): pages 962-976, 2007.

[SS1] Soprunov, I. and Soprunova, J.: Toric Surface Codes and Minkowski Length of Polygons. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 23: pages 384-400, 2009.

[SS2] Soprunov, I. and Soprunova, J.: Bring Toric Codes to the Next Dimension. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 24(2): pages 655-665, 2010.

[YZ] Yau, S. S.-T. and Zuo, H.: Notes on Classification of Toric Surface Codes of Dimension 5. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering,Communication and Computing.* 20: pages 175-185, 2009.

## Tables of the Enumerator Polynomials for Toric Codes

In this appendix, we list all the tables mentioned in our proof of Theorem 1.2, where the weight enumerator polynomials are defined as follows:

$$W_C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{(q-1)^2} A_i x^i$$

where $A_i = |\{w \in C : wt(w) = i\}|$, for the $k = 6$ toric codes. All the polynomials are computed by using GAP code with guava package and toric package from [Joy].

TABLE A.1.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Over $\mathbb{F}_7$ | $P_6^{(1)}$: | $1+36x^6+540x^{12}+4320x^{18}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(2)}$: | $1+90x^{12}+600x^{18}+2790x^{24}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+360x^{24}+5832x^{25}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+810x^{24}+2160x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+360x^{24}+648x^{25}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+360x^{24}+648x^{25}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+576x^{24}+216x^{25}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1+120x^{18}+774x^{24}+2376x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1+180x^{24}+1080x^{25}+2916x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1+270x^{24}+432x^{25}+4212x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1+180x^{24}+1080x^{25}+2700x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1+288x^{24}+1728x^{25}+2484x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1+270x^{24}+1296x^{25}+4860x^{26}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(14)}$: | $1+540x^{20}+180x^{24}+1944x^{25}+\cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_8$ | $P_6^{(1)}$: | $1+147x^{14}+1470x^{21}+10535x^{28}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(2)}$: | $1+245x^{21}+1225x^{28}+558x^{35}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+588x^{35}+11662x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+735x^{35}+1029x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+735x^{35}+1029x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+588x^{35}+686x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+588x^{35}+1715x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1+245x^{28}+735x^{35}+343x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1+294x^{35}+1715x^{36}+4459x^{37}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1+49x^{28}+441x^{35}+2058x^{37}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1+294x^{35}+2058x^{36}+4116x^{37}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1+294x^{35}+3430x^{36}+4116x^{37}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1+441x^{35}+2058x^{36}+9261x^{37}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(14)}$: | $1+1029x^{30}+294x^{35}+3087x^{36}+\cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_9$ | $P_6^{(1)}$: | $1+448x^{24}+3360x^{32}+22848x^{40}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(2)}$: | $1+560x^{32}+2240x^{40}+10304x^{48}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+896x^{48}+21504x^{49}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+1888x^{48}+2048x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+1408x^{48}+1536x^{49}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+896x^{48}+2048x^{49}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+1408x^{48}+1024x^{49}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1+448x^{40}+1376x^{48}+4864x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1+448x^{48}+2516x^{49}+7168x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1+2208x^{48}+8704x^{51}+17280x^{52}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1+448x^{48}+2408x^{49}+4608x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1+704x^{48}+4608x^{49}+7936x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1+672x^{48}+3072x^{49}+16128x^{50}+\cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(14)}$: | $1+1792x^{42}+448x^{48}+4608x^{49}+\cdots$ |

TABLE A.2.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 1800x^{80} + 61000x^{81} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 2250x^{80} + 2000x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 2000x^{80} + 4000x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 2000x^{80} + 1000x^{81} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 1800x^{80} + 2000x^{81} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 1200x^{70} + 2750x^{80} + 2500x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 900x^{80} + 5000x^{81} + 18000x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 1350x^{80} + 3000x^{81} + 3000x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 1100x^{80} + 3000x^{81} + 11000x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 1400x^{80} + 10000x^{81} + 19500x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 1350x^{80} + 6000x^{81} + 40500x^{82} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 3168x^{120} + 145152x^{121} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 4248x^{120} + 3456x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 3168x^{120} + 1728x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 3168x^{120} + 1728x^{121} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 4320x^{120} + 1728x^{121} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 2640x^{108} + 4824x^{120} + 864x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 1584x^{120} + 8640x^{121} + 38016x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 2376x^{120} + 5184x^{123} + 32832x^{124} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 1584x^{120} + 5184x^{121} + 19008x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 2448x^{120} + 19008x^{121} + 40608x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 2376x^{120} + 10368x^{121} + 85536x^{122} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 6300x^{195} + 425250x^{196} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 7875x^{195} + 3375x^{197} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 6975x^{195} + 13500x^{199} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 6975x^{195} + 3375x^{196} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 8550x^{195} + 3375x^{196} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 6825x^{180} + 7875x^{195} + 3375x^{196} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 3150x^{195} + 16875x^{196} + 94500x^{197} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 4725x^{195} + 40500x^{198} + 20250x^{199} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 3825x^{195} + 10125x^{196} + 47250x^{197} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 3150x^{195} + 47250x^{196} + 94500x^{197} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 4725x^{195} + 20250x^{196} + 212625x^{197} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 7680x^{224} + 581632x^{225} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 9600x^{224} + 4096x^{228} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 7680x^{224} + 8192x^{228} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 7680x^{224} + 4096x^{225} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 7680x^{224} + 8192x^{225} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 8960x^{208} + 11648x^{224} + 2048x^{226} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 3840x^{224} + 20480x^{225} + 122880x^{226} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 5760x^{224} + 12288x^{227} + 24576x^{229} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 3840x^{224} + 12288x^{225} + 61440x^{226} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 5888x^{224} + 53248x^{225} + 129024x^{226} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 5760x^{224} + 24576x^{225} + 276480x^{226} + \cdots$ |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{19}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 11016x^{288} + 1032264x^{289} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 13770x^{288} + 5832x^{292} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 11016x^{288} + 5832x^{292} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 11016x^{288} + 5832x^{289} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 14904x^{288} + 5832x^{289} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 14688x^{270} + 16686x^{288} + 2916x^{290} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 5508x^{288} + 29160x^{289} + 198288x^{290} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 8262x^{288} + 17496x^{290} + 5832x^{292} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 5508x^{288} + 17496x^{289} + 99144x^{290} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 8424x^{288} + 81648x^{289} + 207036x^{290} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 8262x^{288} + 34992x^{289} + 4416148x^{290} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{23}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 20328x^{440} + 2757832x^{441} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(4)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 25410x^{440} + 53240x^{448} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 20328x^{440} + 10648x^{445} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 20328x^{440} + 10648x^{441} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(7)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 20328x^{440} + 21296x^{441} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(8)}$: | $1 + 33880x^{418} + 30734x^{440} + 5324x^{442} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(9)}$: | $1 + 10164x^{440} + 53240x^{441} + 447216x^{442} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 15246x^{440} + 31944x^{446} + 63888x^{447} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(11)}$: | $1 + 10164x^{440} + 31944x^{441} + 223608x^{442} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(12)}$: | $1 + 15488x^{440} + 170368x^{441} + 463188x^{442} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 15246x^{440} + 63888x^{441} + 1006236x^{442} + \cdots$ |

TABLE A.3.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{25}$ | $P_6^{(3)}$: | $1 + 48576x^{504} + 26496x^{528} + 4230144x^{529} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 48576x^{504} + 26496x^{528} + 13824x^{529} + 69120x^{536} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(6)}$: | $1 + 48576x^{504} + 26496x^{528} + 13824x^{529} + 13824x^{536} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 19872x^{528} + 13824x^{529} + 41472x^{536} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(13)}$: | $1 + 19872x^{528} + 82944x^{529} + 1430784x^{530} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 67600x^{598} + 33800x^{624} + 70304x^{633} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 25350x^{624} + 52728x^{630} + 105456x^{633} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{29}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 91728x^{700} + 42336x^{728} + 21952x^{737} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 31752x^{728} + 131712x^{736} + 65856x^{738} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{31}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 121800x^{810} + 52200x^{840} + 27000x^{849} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 39150x^{840} + 27000x^{847} + 81000x^{852} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 139345x^{868} + 57660x^{899} + 59582x^{910} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 43245x^{899} + 893730x^{913} + 1787460x^{914} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{37}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 257040x^{1188} + 90720x^{1224} + 46656x^{1236} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 68040x^{1224} + 139968x^{1237} + 279936x^{1238} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{41}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 395200x^{1480} + 124800x^{1520} + 320000x^{1536} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 93600x^{1520} + 192000x^{1535} + 64000x^{1537} + \cdots$ |
| Over $\mathbb{F}_{43}$ | $P_6^{(5)}$: | $1 + 482160x^{1638} + 144648x^{1680} + 10584x^{1694} + \cdots$ |
| | $P_6^{(10)}$: | $1 + 108486x^{1680} + 10584x^{1687} + 296352x^{1699} + \cdots$ |